SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division

DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102

Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FILED

FEB 2 3 2007

RICHARD TEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

15 ARMANDO GIL-TSUN,

Defendant.

No. 07,70076 MEJ

PRIMESED] ORDER AND STIPULATION EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 15, 2007 TO MARCH 19, 2007 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))

On February 7, 2007, the Honorable Maria Elena James issued a Complaint charging the Defendant with a violation of Title 8, Section 1326 and on February 9, 2007, the Defendant was arraigned on that Complaint. On February 15, 2007, the Defendant waived a detention hearing without prejudice and the matter was set for a Preliminary Hearing on February 26, 2007.

On February 14 and 15, 2007, counsel for the Government served counsel for the Defendant with discovery in this matter. Counsel for the Government and Defendant are currently discussing a pre-indictment resolution of this case. Further, counsel for the Defendant does not believe it is in his client's best interests for the government to indict the case within 30 days of arrest on the Complaint, as required under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

Accordingly, the parties have agreed as follows:

1. The Defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to STIPULATION AND ORDER 07-70076 MEJ

17 18

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

19

21 22

23

2425

26

27 28

Case 3:07-mj-70076-MRGD Document 7 Filed 02/23/07 Page 2 of 2

grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny both Government and Defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, the need for both sides to investigate the facts of the case, and the on-going attempts to reach a pre-indictment disposition.

3. Given these circumstances, parties agree and the Court should find that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from February 15, 2007 to March 19, 2007 outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: February 22, 2007

DENISE MARIE BARTON
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: February 22, 2007

/s/ STEVEN J. KOENINGER Attorney for ARMANDO GIL-TSUN

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and for the reasons set forth above, the time from February 15, 2007 to March 19, 2007 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations.

DATED:

THE HON. MARIA ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge

07-70076 MEJ

STIPULATION AND ORDER